

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 USBERL 00183 191015Z
ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-04 H-01 INR-07 L-03
NSAE-00 NSC-05 PA-01 PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15 USIA-06 VO-03
SCA-01 PPT-01 IO-13 ACDA-07 /083 W
-----191324Z 094075 /53

R 181633Z JAN 77
FM USMISSION USBERLIN
TO AMEMBASSY BONN
INFO SECSTATE WASHDC 3838
AMEMBASSY BERLIN UNN
AMEMBASSY LONDON
AMEMBASSY MOSCOW
AMEMBASSY PARIS
USMISSION NATO

C O N F I D E N T I A L USBERLIN 0183

E.O.11652: GDS
TAGS: PFOR, PGOV, WB, GW, UR, GE
SUBJECT: ANSWER TO SOVIET PROTEST ON VISA REQUIREMENTS FOR EASTERN
EUROPEANS

REFS: A) USBERLIN 170, B) BONN 941; C) USBERLIN 131

SUMMARY: CHAIRMAN (BRITISH) POLAD DELIVERED AGREED
RESPONSE TO SOVIET PROTEST ON VISA REQUIREMENT
FOR EASTERN EUROPEANS JANURAY 17 AT SAME TIME AS
HE MADE PROTEST ON RECENT GDR INTERFERENCE WITH
TRANSIT TRAFFIC. SOVIET EMBASSY COUNSELLOR
KHOTULEV SAID HE FOUND RESPONSE UNSATISFACTORY
AND THAT HE WOULD PROBABLY HAVE TO RETURN TO
SUBJECT. HE APPEARED RELATIVELY SUBDUED AND
RELAXED, HOWEVER, AND DID NOT RAISE ANY NEW
SUBJECTS. WE ARE REPORTING EXCHANGE ON TRANSIT
PROBLEM BY SEPTTEL. END SUMMARY.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 USBERL 00183 191015Z

1. FOLLOWING IS BRITISH POLAD'S REPORT ON DISCUSSION
WITH KHOTULEV:
BEGIN TEXT: WHEN I HAD FINISHED READING OUT OUR
REPLY ON THE VISA QUESTION, KHOTULEV SAID THAT
THIS WAS ALL IRRELEVANT. OUR REPLY ADDED NOTHING
TO THEIR KNOWLEDGE. HE FOUND IT UNSATISFACTORY
AND HE WOULD PROBABLY HAVE TO RETURN TO THE SUBJECT.

SPECIFICALLY, HE SAID THAT IF CERTAIN POLES HAD INDEED ACCEPTED FRG VISAS, AS WE HINTED, THIS WAS A MISTAKE. BUT IN ANY CASE, NO RUSSIAN EVER HAD OR EVER WOULD ACCEPT A VISA FOR BERLIN FROM THE FRG EMBASSY IN MOSCOW (IN REPLY TO MY INNOCENT QUESTION, HE OR RATHER TYUTYUNOV CONFIRMED THAT SOVIET CITIZENS WOULD IF NECESSARY BE PREVENTED FROM DOING SO.) KHOTULEV CLAIMED THAT THE GRANTING OF A VISA WAS AN ACT OF STATE SOVEREIGNTY AND THUS DIRECTLY CONCERNED MATTERS OF STATUS AND SECURITY WHICH HAD BEEN EXPLICITLY EXCLUDED IN THE QA FROM THE GENERAL PROVISIONS WITH REGARD TO THE REPRESENTATION ABROAD OF THE INTERESTS OF THE WSB. HE REITERATED THAT HE WAS NOT SPEAKING ON BEHALF OF MALEV AND CO., BUT WAS ONLY USING THEIR CASES TO ILLUSTRATE HIS GENERAL COMPLAINT.

IN REPLY, I SAID THAT THE POLES IN QUESTION WERE NOT BY ANY MEANS THE FIRST EAST EUROPEANS WHO HAD ACCEPTED THE NEED TO OBTAIN FRG VISAS BEFORE RESIDING IN BERLIN. EAST EUROPEANS HAD BEEN DOING THIS EVER SINCE THE 1950S. FOR OUR PART WE SAW NOTHING WRONG WITH THIS PROCEDURE: INDEED WE HAD SPECIFICALLY AUTHORIZED IT. WE REGARDED THE GIVING OUT OF VISAS AS A DAY TO DAY MATTER OF PRACTICAL CONCERN AND HAD DELEGATED IT TO THE FRG ALONG WITH THE GENERAL, THOUGH CIRCUMSCRIBED, RESPONSIBILITY FOR REPRESENTING WEST BERLIN'S INTERESTS ABROAD. I SAID IT WAS SURELY RELEVANT
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 USBERL 00183 191015Z

THAT THE GDR GOVERNMENT HAD BEEN ISSUING VISAS TO FOREIGNERS TO ENTER EAST BERLIN FOR THE PAST 20 YEARS OR SO. WITH THEIR LATEST MEASURES IT WAS NOW IMPOSSIBLE FOR FOREIGNERS TO ENTER EAST BERLIN AT ALL WITHOUT FIRST OBTAINING A VISA. AGAINST THIS, SOVIET AND EAST EUROPEAN CITIZENS COULD NOT ONLY ENTER WEST BERLIN BUT COULD STAY THERE A FULL 31 DAYS WITHOUT OBTAINING A VISA AT ALL. I REMINDED KHOTULEV THAT BRITISH NATIONALS WERE DIRECTLY AFFECTED BY THE GDR REGULATIONS. I SAID THAT THE RUSSIANS WERE OF COURSE AT LIBERTY TO RETURN TO THIS SUBJECT IN THE FUTURE BUT I COULD PROMISE THEM NO OTHER REPLY IF THEY DID SO. THIS WAS A VERY OLD PRACTICE AND WE REGARDED IT AS A PART OF THE EXISTING SITUATION WHEN THE QA WAS NEGOTIATED. IF THE SOVIET SIDE WERE SO ADVERSE TO IT, WHY DID THEY NOT TAKE IT UP DURING THE QA NEGOTIATIONS? TYUTYUNOV HAD MENTIONED (IN DISCUSSION) THAT THE BUNDESTAG NO LONGER HELD

MEETINGS IN BERLIN. THIS WAS A GOOD CASE IN POINT: THE QA WOULD NO DOUBT HAVE REGULATED THE VISA QUESTION TOO IF THE RUSSIAN CASE HAD BEEN STRONG. AS IT WAS, I SAID WE WOULD GO ON AS BEFORE.

APART FROM GRUMBLING THAT OUR VIEW OF THE QA - NAMELY THAT WHAT IT DID NOT SPECIFICALLY FORBID WAS ALLOWED - WAS A DANGEROUS ONE, KHOTULEV NEITHER SPECIFICALLY REBUTTED ANY OF MY POINTS NOR ADDED ANY FRESH ONES OF HIS OWN. HE SHOWED NO DISPOSITION TO ARGUE THE TOSS OVER GDR VISAS FOR VISITORS TO EAST BERLIN. HOWEVER, HE RESERVED HIS RIGHT TO RETURN TO THE GENERAL QUESTION LATER.

COMMENT: KHOTULEV HAS JUST RETURNED TO WORK AFTER A SUDDEN APPENDIX OPERATION ON 3 JANUARY. CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 USBERL 00183 191015Z

HE APPEARED SURPRISINGLY FIT, BUT THE OPERATION MIGHT GO SOME WAY TO EXPLAINING HIS VERY RELAXED MANNER IN DEALING WITH OUR POINTS AND HIS FAILURE - FOR THE FIRST TIME IN MY EXPERIENCE - TO RAISE ANY FRESH COMPLAINTS ABOUT ALLIED BEHAVIOR IN RETURN. THE FORTHCOMING BARRAGE OF FEDERAL VISITORS TO THE CITY WOULD HAVE GIVEN HIM PLENTY OF OPPORTUNITY TO DO SO. MAYBE HE WAS LEAVING IT TO ABRASIMOV TO TAKE A FIRM LINE WITH SIR OLIVER WRIGHT WHEN THEY MEET ON 19 JANUARY. WE SHALL SEE. END TEXT.

2. TEXT STATEMENT MADE BY CHAIRMAN POLAD WAS IDENTICAL WITH THAT CONTAINED REF A. EDITORIAL SUGGESTIONS CONTAINED REF B WERE RECEIVED AFTER POLAD HAD SEEN KHOTULEV. AS INDICATED REF A, WE HAD CONCURRED IN TEXT AND BRITISH MISSION FELT IT HAD DISCRETION TO APPROVE TEXT WITHOUT REFERENCE TO BRITISH EMBASSY. CHAIRMAN POLAD ACCORDINGLY WENT AHEAD WHEN FRENCH MSSION CONCURRENCE WAS RECEIVED JANUARY 17. DAVIS

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01-Jan-1994 12:00:00 am
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: BERLIN ACCESS, VISAS, DIPLOMATIC PROTESTS, TRAVEL CONTROLS, GOVERNMENT REACTIONS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Sent Date: 18-Jan-1977 12:00:00 am
Decaption Date: 01-Jan-1960 12:00:00 am
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 22 May 2009
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1977USBERL00183
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Expiration:
Film Number: D770019-1224
Format: TEL
From: USBERLIN
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1977/newtext/t19770139/aaaabiib.tel
Line Count: 159
Litigation Code IDs:
Litigation Codes:
Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Message ID: 86fba2d4-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Office: ACTION EUR
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 77 USBERLIN 170, 77 BONN 941, 77 USBERLIN 131
Retention: 0
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 02-Feb-2005 12:00:00 am
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review Media Identifier:
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
SAS ID: 3564577
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: ANSWER TO SOVIET PROTEST ON VISA REQUIREMENTS FOR EASTERN EUROPEANS
TAGS: PFOR, PGOV, WB, GE, UR, GC, XH
To: BONN
Type: TE
vdkgvwkey: odhc://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS_Docs/86fba2d4-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Review Markings:
Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
22 May 2009
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009